Multilevel Optimization Techniques Using the Exact Hessian for PDAE Constrained Optimization Problems

GAMM Trier, 2009

Debora Clever

joint project with C. Ziems, S. Ulbrich and J. Lang SPP 1253, supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG)

Technische Universität Darmstadt

[Second Order Optimization Techniques](#page-2-0)

[Glass Cooling](#page-11-0)

- **[Optimal Control Problem](#page-13-0)**
- **[Numerical Experiments](#page-14-0)**

15.01.2009 | Department of Mathematics | Numerical Analysis | Debora Clever | 2

Multilevel Second Order Optimization Motivation

- min $_{u}$ $\hat{J}(u):=J(y,\textit{u})$ s.t. $e(y,\textit{u})=0$
- PDAE constrained optimization requires several solves of complex time-dependent differential equations
- \Rightarrow Design efficient second order optimization algorithms which
	- **•** reduce the number of PDAF solves
	- carry out as many solves on coarse grids as possible but still reasonable
	- cooperate with fully adaptive state of the art PDAE solvers, like KARDOS
	- We use:
		- Derivative based optimization technique
		- Backwards approach
		- "First optimize then discretize" strategy

Multilevel Second Order Optimization Control Update

• Control update s_u with $u_{k+1} = u_k + s_u$ is computed by solving

$$
\hat{J}''(u_k) s_u = -\hat{J}'(u_k)
$$

with reduced gradient $\hat{J}'(u_{k})$ and reduced Hessian $\hat{J}''(u_{k})$

• Reduced Hessian can not be computed on its own but only applied to a direction s_u which again is the input of this very computation

Multilevel Second Order Optimization Control Update

• Control update s_u with $u_{k+1} = u_k + s_u$ is computed by solving

$$
\hat{J}''(u_k) s_u = -\hat{J}'(u_k)
$$

with reduced gradient $\hat{J}'(u_{k})$ and reduced Hessian $\hat{J}''(u_{k})$

- Reduced Hessian can not be computed on its own but only applied to a direction s_u which again is the input of this very computation
- Control update s_u must be computed within appropriate iterative method
- Control update s_{μ} carries direction and step length
- Superlinear or even quadratic convergence

Q When to stop inner iteration?

- Q When to stop inner iteration?
- A In accordance to accuracy of outer iteration \Rightarrow tol_i = tol^{1.5}. (superlinear convergence)

- Q When to stop inner iteration?
- A In accordance to accuracy of outer iteration \Rightarrow tol_i = tol^{1.5}. (superlinear convergence)
- Q How to choose tolerances for outer iteration?

- Q When to stop inner iteration?
- A In accordance to accuracy of outer iteration \Rightarrow tol_i = tol^{1.5}. (superlinear convergence)
- Q How to choose tolerances for outer iteration?
- A In accordance to distance of current control and optimal control

- Q When to stop inner iteration?
- A In accordance to accuracy of outer iteration \Rightarrow tol_i = tol^{1.5}. (superlinear convergence)
- Q How to choose tolerances for outer iteration?
- A In accordance to distance of current control and optimal control
- Q How to measure this distance?

- Q When to stop inner iteration?
- A In accordance to accuracy of outer iteration \Rightarrow tol_i = tol^{1.5}. (superlinear convergence)
- Q How to choose tolerances for outer iteration?
- A In accordance to distance of current control and optimal control
- How to measure this distance?
- A The reduced gradient descents towards zero as approaching the optimum Refine if global state and adjoint errors in space and time become greater then a multiple of reduced gradient

If point wise control constraints are present consider projected gradient

Glass Cooling Motivation

- Glass is processed at temperatures up to $1000^\circ C$
- **•** Expeditious cooling at room temperature causes cracks inside the material
- Solution: Controlled cooling within a preheated furnace by decreasing its temperature from 700-800◦C to 20◦C
- Radiation plays a dominant role because of high temperatures
- \Rightarrow Optimization of furnace temperature results in an optimal boundary control problem with high dimensional and highly nonlinear partial differential algebraic equations in at least two components

Glass Cooling Modelling

Dimensionless state system with glass temperature $T(x, t)$, mean radiation intensity $\phi(x, t)$ and furnace temperature $u(t)$ (control)

$$
\partial_t T - k \Delta T - \frac{1}{3\kappa} \Delta \phi = 0
$$
 in $\Omega \times (0, t_e]$

$$
-\frac{\epsilon^{-}}{3\kappa}\Delta\phi = -\kappa\phi + 4\pi\kappa aT^4
$$
 in $\Omega \times (0, t_e]$

$$
kn \cdot \nabla T + \frac{1}{3\kappa} n \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{h}{\epsilon} (u - T) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} 4\pi a u^4 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \phi \qquad \text{in } \partial \Omega \times (0, t_e]
$$

$$
\frac{e}{3\kappa} n \cdot \nabla \phi = \frac{e}{2} (4\pi a u^4 - \phi) \qquad \text{in } \partial \Omega \times (0, t_e]
$$

$$
T(0,x)=T_0(x) \qquad \qquad \text{on } \Omega.
$$

Gray scale model, A. Klar, R. Pinnau, G. Thömmes et al.

Optimal Control Problem Objective Functional

- Temperature T should follow desired profile T_d
- Regularization of furnace temperatue $u(t)$

$$
J(\mathcal{T}, u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_e} ||\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_d||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + \frac{\delta_e}{2} ||(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{T}_d)(t_e)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\delta_u}{2} \int_0^{t_e} ||u - u_d||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 dt
$$

• The separate tracking of the final state is important to obtain non-vanishing gradient or Hessian information in the last time step Cooperation with M. Herty, RWTH Aachen

Numerical Experiments Setting

- Present performace of multilevel strategy
- Give comparative values (disable multilevel strategy, gradient method)

- Computational domain $[-2, 2] \times [-2, 2] \in \mathbb{R}^2$, time $t \in [0, 0.1]$
- \bullet $T(x, 0) = 900$, $u(t)$ spatially constant
- $u_0 = u_d = T_d$: exponential decrease from 900 to 400
- Set $\delta_{\mu} = 0.1, \delta_{\alpha} = 0.1$

Numerical Experiments Multilevel SQP-Method, Glass Cooling

- Start with 9 time steps and 144 spatial nodes
- **•** Grids are automatically adjusted if global error estimators exceed reduced gradient norm
- Algorithm is stopped if reduced gradient norm and all error estimators are less than 1.0e-3
- Optimal control is computed with 56 time points and up to 4239 spatial nodes

Numerical Experiments Multilevel Strategy

Numerical Experiments Optimization Protocol

- Four optimization steps on coarse grid with only 2-3 BiCGstab iterations
- Only one optimization step on each refined grid

Numerical Experiments Multilevel SQP-Method, Glass Cooling

• SQP with multilevel strategy \rightarrow CPU: 473 seconds (8 minutes)

Numerical Experiments Improvement: Multilevel Strategy

• SQP without multilevel \rightarrow CPU: 4073 seconds (1 hour and 8 minutes)

Numerical Experiments Improvement: Second Order Derivative

Gradient method with multilevel \rightarrow CPU: 2853 seconds (48 minutes) Gradient method without multilevel \rightarrow CPU: \approx 25000 seconds (7 hours)

15.01.2009 | Department of Mathematics | Numerical Analysis | Debora Clever | 16

Numerical Experiments Multilevel SQP-Method, Glass Cooling

- Second order information reduces CPU by a factor six
- Multilevel strategy reduces CPU by a factor ten
- Overall saving: factor 60

(which means a saving of 98% in comparison to the fixed gradient method)

• All final results are comparable

Multilevel Optimization Techniques Summary and Current Research

Summary

- Derivation of a multilevel SQP-method using the exact reduced Hessian (in cooperation with C.Ziems, S. Ulbrich, TU Darmstadt)
- Final state tracking to improve derivative information (in cooperation with M. Herty, RWTH Aachen)
- Application to glass cooling problem, modelled by radiative heat transfer (gray scale model, A. Klar, R. Pinnau, G. Thömmes et al.)
- CPU reduction by approximately 98% in comparison to a gradient method with simple line search on a fixed grid

Current research

- Augment objective functional with glass temperature gradient and corresponding final state term (\rightarrow theory)
- Application to N-band modell to take frequency dependent behavior of radiation into account
- Consideration of control constraints as additional minimization problem to ensure proper step size