A Newton-Picard Inexact SQP Method for Time-Periodic PDE Constrained Optimization

> **A. Potschka** A. Küpper H.G. Bock M. Diehl S. Engell E. Kostina I. P. Schlöder

Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing, University of Heidelberg

Department of Biochemical and Chemical Engineering, TU Dortmund

OPTEC, K.U. Leuven, Belgium Philipps-University Marburg

GAMM Workshop on PDE Constrained Optimization of Certain and Uncertain Processes Trier, June 4, 2009

Outline

[Application: Simulated Moving Bed Process \(SMB\)](#page-2-0)

[Constrained Optimization Problem Formulation](#page-6-0)

[Newton-Picard Inexact SQP](#page-8-0)

[Theoretical Convergence and Complexity Analysis](#page-13-0)

[Numerical Convergence Results](#page-16-0)

Simulated Moving Bed Processes (SMB)

- \triangleright Goal: Separation of two chemical species in a solution
	- \triangleright Distillation not possible
	- \blacktriangleright Eg. Glucose/fructose separation, enantiomere separation
- \blacktriangleright Used in: Soft drinks, pharmacy
- \blacktriangleright Preparative chromatography: Separation by different adsorption properties
- \blacktriangleright Simple batch form:

Simulated Moving Bed Principle I

Control: Port flows, switching period

 \blacktriangleright Fixed controls: Process attains cyclic/periodic steady state

Simulated Moving Bed II

Advantages:

- \triangleright Chemical: Better separation properties
- Economical: Continuous process
	- ⇒ Continuous production

Goal:

 \triangleright Optimize cyclic steady state (CSS)

SMB Model

- General Rate Model (1D) [Gu, 1990, 1995]
- \triangleright System of diffusion-advection-adsorption equations
- \triangleright Main difficulty: Highly nonlinear coupling via algebraic isotherm equations
- \blacktriangleright E.g. Bi-Langmuir isotherm equation

$$
q_i = \frac{H_i^1 c_{p,i}}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^2 k_m^1 c_{p,m}} + \frac{H_i^2 c_{p,i}}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^2 k_m^2 c_{p,m}}
$$

Constrained Optimization Problem

 \triangleright Optimize cyclic steady state (CSS)

$$
\min_{y,u,T} f(y(T), u)
$$
\ns.t.

\n
$$
\partial_t y = L(y, u) \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \quad \text{plus BC on } \partial \Omega,
$$
\n
$$
y(0) - Py(T) = 0,
$$
\n
$$
h_1(y(T)) \ge 0, \quad \text{(range}(h_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^m),
$$
\n
$$
h_2(u(t), T) \ge 0, \quad t \in [0, T]
$$

 \blacktriangleright Main difficulty: Boundary value constraint on *y*

Discretize then Optimize

- \triangleright Discretize states in space and controls in time
- \triangleright Parametrize states in time by Shooting technique \Rightarrow Large scale NLP
- \triangleright Solved by Inexact SQP
- \triangleright Generation of forward and adjoint directional derivatives via Internal Numerical Differentiation/Automatic Differentiation
- \triangleright q: Discretized controls plus parameters and switch period
- ► s: Discretized initial state
- \triangleright $\hat{s}(t; s, q)$: Parametrized state

Inexact SQP (a.k.a. adjoint-based SQP)

[Griewank & Walther 2002, Diehl et al. 2008, Wirsching 2006]

- ▶ SQP: Sequentially solve Quadratic Programs with approximated Hessians
- \triangleright Inexact SQP: Also approximate constraint Jacobians
- \triangleright Solve QP-KKT systems in each iteration:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nH_{ss} & H_{sq} & A_s^T & B_s^T \\
H_{qs} & H_{qq} & A_q^T & B_q^T \\
A_s & A_q & 0 & 0 \\
B_s & B_q & 0 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\Delta s \\
\Delta q \\
-\Delta \lambda \\
-\Delta \mu_{\text{active}}\n\end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix}\n\nabla_s L \\
\nabla_q L \\
s - P\hat{s}(T; s, q) \\
h_{\text{active}}(s, q)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

- ► Calculation of ∇L by adjoint solve
- ^I Quasi Newton Hessian approximation *H* (BFGS)

Newton-Picard projective approximation for A_s (data-sparse)

Elimination of states from QP

^I Use data-sparse *A^s* to directly eliminate ∆*s* and periodicity constraint from QP: $\Delta s = C\Delta q + r$

- \triangleright Solve small QP with standard active set QP solver
- ^I Recover ∆*s*

Recover $\Delta\lambda$ by KKT transformation rules (requires one additional adjoint solve)

Newton-Picard Approximation

 \triangleright Consider discretized periodicity constraint for *s* with fixed q:

$$
s - P\hat{s}(T; s, q) = 0
$$

 \blacktriangleright Use Newton-type method:

$$
A_s^k\Delta s^k = -\left(s^k - P\hat{s}(T;s^k,q)\right),\quad s^{k+1} = s^k + \Delta s^k
$$

▶ Full Newton:
$$
A_s^k = \mathbb{I} - M^k
$$
, where

$$
M^k = P \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{s}}{\mathrm{d}s}(T; s^k, q)
$$

is the so called monodromy matrix

Typical Spectrum of the SMB *M*

- Cluster of EV around 0
- Few large EV
- Idea: Calculate M only for "slow" EV
- Picard for fast EV
- \blacktriangleright Philosophy: High-dimensional discretization but low-dimensional dynamics

Newton-Picard [Lust et al. 1998]

- \triangleright Use expensive Newton method on "slow" modes
- \blacktriangleright Use inexpensive functional (Picard) iteration on "fast" modes
- ► Let orthonormal $V_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times p}$ span the "slow" invariant subspace, i.e. the *p*-dimensional dominant subspace of *M*
- **►** Approximation of $\mathbb{I} M$:

$$
A_s = \mathbb{I} - MV_pV_p^T,
$$

- ► For A_s and A_s^{-1} , only the action MV_p is needed
- \triangleright Can be evaluated by p directional forward derivatives of DE
- \blacktriangleright Algorithmically, V_p is only approximated with a piggy-back Subspace Iteration simultaneously with the Newton-type method

 \triangleright Picard contraction can be improved by introduction of a shift [Potschka et al. 2008]

Local Convergence I

- \blacktriangleright By increasing p , A_s can be ameliorated
- \triangleright Algorithmically, an estimate for the inexactness is available from the Subspace Iteration for *V^p*

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{r}}(A_s-(\mathbb{I}-M))<\lambda_p
$$

Local Convergence II [Wirsching et al., 2006]

Assumptions:

- ► $w^* = (s^*, q^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$ KKT-point
- ► LICQ and strict complementarity holds in w^*
- \blacktriangleright *H_k* positive definite, bounded
- \blacktriangleright Exact KKT matrix $\hat{K}(w_k)$, approximate K_k
- \blacktriangleright K_k^{-1} uniformly bounded for all *k*
- **Figure 1** There exists κ < 1 such that

$$
\left\|K_{k+1}^{-1}\left(K_k-\hat{K}(w_k+\alpha\Delta w_k)\right)\Delta w_k\right\|\leq\kappa\left\|\Delta w_k\right\|,\quad\forall\alpha\in[0,1]
$$

 \blacktriangleright Full steps

Then:

 \triangleright Stationary active set and q-linear convergence in a neighborhood of w^* with convergence rate κ

Complexity Analysis

- \blacktriangleright *M* control intervals (typically \lt 20)
- \triangleright *p* dimension of subspace (typically 1–20)
- \triangleright *S* subspace iterations (typically 1–5)
- \blacktriangleright Effort for linear algebra negligible
- ^I Number of solves per Newton-Picard iSQP iteration independent of *n^s*

Numerical Convergence of Newton-Picard iSQP

Summary

- ▶ Newton-Picard Inexact SOP Method: Simultaneous approach for solution of time-periodic PDE optimization problems
- \triangleright Exploitation of low-dimensional dynamics of a high-dimensional discretization
- \triangleright Used to solve SMB application

